

Mandatory Mental Health Screening

0 – 3 year olds

SUBMISSION ABOUT PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The Bill proposes that all children aged 0 to 3 years old get screened for mental health disorders. The so-called testing is by questions, like, does your child climb over couches? Within a generation this means every adult man, woman, and child will be screened and for many, it will be harmful.

One can see what is being sought here. It is for children that are hard to handle, and are already upset with life at a young age, to be drugged. The trouble with this is, what children are never upset? None, is the answer.

Here is a sample of how this proposed bill can be applied: All children can crave attention. Life in any family demands attention, and if not attended, a child can become upset. It happens. Or, if the child has been abused by a parent or sibling, they can be expected to get upset. The extreme of this is: if a parent is abusing a one-year-old child, how can such screening handle that? It cannot. Most psychiatrists will prescribe drugs for the child, but that will not stop the abuse.

The question we can also be asking is, are these children being hyper sensitive to something environmental, and if so, what? In our society we feed our children food laced with poisons. Could climbing over couches – simply be a symptom of an adverse reaction to poison? Yes. How can we tell if this is the problem? We cannot.

Our society is highly toxic. Most children are even injected with neurotoxins at an early age. Basic poison is included in most vaccines. Mercury, the second most toxic metal known to man, is poisonous. So is aluminum. They are injected into babies.

What happens to people when they are given poison? They start acting strange. They are hard to handle. They appear mentally ill. Some die.

There are also poisons in the water. For example, sodium fluoride is a poison, though we consume it in low doses. But it is a neurotoxin nevertheless, and even sold as rat poison. Today, it is put in our water because it is supposedly good for tooth enamel. Some argue that it should be removed from the water supply as there is no real evidence that tooth enamel is helped at all. But we will not get into that, but simply point out that many toothpastes have sodium fluoride in the paste and state not to let children ingest it. Sodium fluoride is a neurotoxin and is poisonous.

Children have been taking these poisons since conception. Sodium fluoride will be found in water and that water is used to make bread, cereal, paste, soft-drinks, and a lot more. Well-meaning mothers will eat this, and pass it onto their unborn children.

So what is the solution for kids if they are found to be having an adverse reaction to all these drugs and toxins we give them? Psychiatric drugs, of course, is the proposed solution. More poison. But are psychiatric drugs poison? The answer to that is, what would happen if you took 100 capsules a day? Compare that to the question, can you overdose on eating apples? For apples, likely not, but for psychiatric drugs, they will kill you.

The solution for children who are acting strange is not to give them more poison. It just makes them sicker. Giving more drugs and more poison might make money for those behind the proposed bill, but that is all. It will not help the children.

Are there ways to rid the body of toxins that have been given to children? Yes there are. The body can repair itself. It has that ability if treated right, not wrong.

Does it mean that we ignore children who have been fed a diet of poison, and who maybe have strong adverse reactions to vaccine poisoning? No, they need to be attended. But none should attend a psychiatrist, as their solution is basically, drugs. And to make those drugs, and poison, compulsory upon all children, or even some, is a real crime.

And if we were to implement this bill, will there be a follow-up bill? Probably. It may be to screen potential parents for mental illness, before allowing them to conceive. But that Bill was passed almost a hundred years ago in Germany. It could not happen again.

Nevertheless, let's stop this bill here now and not let history have a chance of repeating itself.

Please consider my submission.

Nicholas Broadhurst