

Date 17 October 2018

Ignore the bad spelling. This may not be taken seriously so not wasting time making it perfect.

A few points to take in for the recommendations:

If ND Agreement Team get together and have round discussions with the bodies and govts and associations, how many of those people do you think really are fighting for the people on the street?

It's a complete waste of time measuring anything and overseeing contracts being done properly between the productivity commission and the bodies and organisations if the man on the street and the organisations aren't getting the correct information sorted so then contracts on top of a messy framework and unfair framework is a complete waste of taxpayers money with budgets for wages and time spent. People with disability could be getting that money.

Example:

If the scope that the NAT team go by is discriminatory which it is, then why make contracts to ensure people are doing things correctly because you are doing things correctly according to discrimination.

The stereotyping of government that disability is what they decide it is rather than the fact that medical illness is just as and sometimes more restricting than more obvious disabilities. Yes I know some of this has to be directed to the area of changing this which we will be doing.

Getting on top of the mess of the system first then putting in contracts or tweaking contracts according to the new tweaking before you take the step to then oversee it. Otherwise you are painting the new house you've built before you have laid the cement. It just is a waste of time and money and not using taxpayers money wisely or efficiently.

Measuring how a program is effective is great if you have all the information you need, but if you are not getting information correctly from the bodies and organisations because they're not listening or have an invested interest in their own stuff which is always the same group of people which is also discriminatory then your not doing a study ethically as it becomes bias and we all know you don't put bias into studies.

Its very much something in place which has just had decisions made by people who have no idea and have not been in situations.

This is what ndis stands for:

Approving people who earn over 25 000 before people who earn under 25000.

Approving people who are not born in Australia before people who are born in Australia

Approving people based on a 5 point system which focuses on one area disability

Seeing autism and mental health as needed but not long term medical because apparently the health department takes care of that. Load of crap. If the people on the street were ever consulted, most things that help people with illness aren't subsidised by the government which means they can't work on getting themselves better which means they are stuck completely whilst watching people who were born overseas and earn more than them get support.

Approving people who have a lot of advocacy support and association support. Most disabilities recognised by NDIS already have huge supports such as advocacy, associations set up, government programs and parents and carers who have money and also have people fighting for them.

In the meantime people who don't have any of that are on their own and can't move forward in life because things set up for people with disabilities don't cater for their needs.

What does that say to them:

They are not worthy

They are not important

They mean nothing

Their life isn't as equal as to others so doesn't matter if govt takes 300 000 and has a good life and watches them stay in their 4 walls for another 20 years

Their restrictions are the same or worse yet not acknowledged or recognised

They don't deserve a chance in life

Adults are completely ignored in this arena

Being on a disability payment for more than 10 years and mobility allowance doesn't count for anything even though every step of the way with that has regular views and medical letters to be in that space

People don't want to be in this space. It's their only way to move forward in their life.

Govt always goes for mental health, obvious disability and aboriginals and then we say they don't have help yet they have so much more than what people with chronic illness have

There are more leniencies and more considerations for migrants, aboriginals and mental health everywhere. You just have to apply for 10 govt things and that is on every form in the question section. TAFE has support for aboriginals and migrants but not chronic illness

There are migrant offices and lawyers everywhere but not for those with chronic illness

There are supports in hospitals for obvious disabilities but if you want allied health which chronic illness does forget it, the govt doesn't believe in it despite the fact World Health Organisation says that 90% of people in the world use it. Despite the trillion dollar industry and chemists taking over as supplement places, despite the amount of gyms opening still nope the govt doesn't want to look at the obviousness.

So yes you can continue to stick to those contracts so that they seal all the inefficiencies and discrimination and inhumanity underneath or you can work on fixing that first and then creating contracts according to new layout.

There is no transparency with this. There are people in Australia setting up projects to ensure we have ethical, transparent and fair policies in Australia. This needs to be the focus.

Fair Go for cheating people and keeping people hostage in their homes whilst giving freedom to others. Nice!!!!!!!!!!