Apologies for poor English, grammar, set-up etc.

Was not going to present anything but after attending Roma I felt that should put in my one cents worth.

Farmers are not good at this so we are disadvantaged before we start.

- some farmers get help but organisations essential to long term benefit to farmers and ultimately all Australians ie MLA, GRDC do not get any help the RD&E they do is essential and it is vital that their work continues most is project based once it is reduced these people go elsewhere and do not come back Rural Skills report stressed the rundown in RD&E reduced drought induced levy funding just accelerates this trend surely this RD&E is a public good
- the point should be stressed that agric has a huge multiplier effect and a \$ invested in agriculture has a very good return "the drought has reduced national growth rate from 3.5 to 2.75%". Costello and this was only after the 2002 drought BAE said same after the 1983 drought "if agric catches the flu then the whole economy catches a cold "Author O'Mara"
- my experience says that generally the mavericks are right and the
 consensus view is generally wrong look for the different thinkers, they
 probably have the solutions as the climate change sceptics may have
 seen an article which said that in NZ when all farm support was
 withdrawn a lot of initial pain but farming has since thrived I question
 this but maybe a few answers there????
- if you can not help producers with IR subsidies maybe you could help with labour assistance my workers were put off during the drought they are not coming back
- Sue Dillon (Agforce) said at Roma that we are struggling to fill office bearers no time and no reward and at significant cost ultimately the whole community will suffer
- -look at those who have not had assistance some lessons there???
- -reward those who have not had a drought not those who have

I SUGGEST YOUR REWARD STRUCTURES ARE WRONG????

BY ASSISTING SOME AND NOT OTHERS – YOU HAVE CHOSEN THE ONES YOU WANT.

ARE THESE THE RIGHT ONES?????? and not the ones reliant on the gov titty????

- read "beyond drought" CSIRO publication
- your inquiry is biased before you start until we all understand what the wider community wants/needs from agric/the bush we can not answer your questions we think you want food and fibre (as this is what we are rewarded for) yet we are being asked to look after the environment etc for free!!!!
- by providing drought assistance you are sharing some of the risk does this perverse/skew the outcomes/directions?????
- no mention of the banks if EC not available would lending policy/criteria be different
- by calling it a drought you are accepting it is an unusual event where as it is a natural cyclic event
- went to a workshop years ago which said of Qld that it was a state of drought interrupted occasionally by flood 40 years later I think they were spot on
- have we shaken out enough farmers/producers? (and possibly the wrong ones) if we wish to retain what left some level of transfer payments are required wise and well founded of course????
- why should not farmers share in the overall prosperity of the economy????
- are there lessons from the 70's when we had a oil panic and mining out competed for labour also
- Qld has clearing restrictions Bill Burrow's early work from memory demonstrated that in dry times (or drought as you say) trees can have a suppressing effect on grass of up to 20 times thus the veg legislation severely amplifies the effects of drought a huge impost on producers especially in a return to a more normal drier era the legislation is not fair or equitable
- ditto re kangaroos etc we destock in anticipation of drought and promptly the roos move in and grazing pressure increases what is the point??? we destock our animals you do not practice what you preach

- your eg of social capital is too narrow football index are there enough people to field a team but more importantly is there someone to organise a team?
- how many areas pass the first test but fail the second this is the real loss of social capital the leaders, thinkers, mavericks, organisers etc.
- go speak to Ian Plowman UQ
- most farmers are making a profit to be on the land not on the land to make a profit
- \$ is not the major driver
- you can not split the economic, enviro and social also add cultural
- the role of government to make the long term strategic decisions
- is much of our problem due to the closer settlement policies of the 50's the wettest period in the last 250 years I would suggest the old timers protested strongly at the time have they been proved right and what do we do to avoid this mistake again
- there are lessons from the 1930's and 1860's but who remembers them in the 1902 drought
- 75% (or 2/3rds) of stock/cattle died in Qld. The Balonne did not flow for 20 years in the 1800's so this dry spell is just that comments on issues paper
- I suggest the major impediment (and driver) is gov legislation
- multiplier effect of agric is this appreciated?
- social effects look overseas Japan huge number of farmers over 60 and some are as huge reduction in population do we want this to happen here???
- why are there 2 places side by side one in "drought" and one not ??????lessons again
- current drought "unprecedented" come off the grass!!!!!
- climate change policies are based on models limited data set?-many farmers do not believe in climate change they are closest to the coal face maybe they know more than the scientists and modellers????

- p6 NDP has failed badly on these criteria!
- p7 governments have run down the RD&E capacity and the people who had the vision and strategic ability
- p8 you suggest ways of coping with drought look at objective not the pathway maybe cash or increased equity is the best way I would suggest your pathways are not the best way for a region with a highly erratic rainfall rainman for Roma only guarantees one mm in January
- look at the families who have survived 3 generations and learn from them they may have something to offer
- assistance means you are taking some of the risk and thus change the decision tree- is this what you want???
- tax incentives are very powerful eg investment allowance also Aust film industry
- resilience comes at a cost we would like to reduce it so when times are tough we take on further risk by reducing costs we need to be a position to act long term never been there
- p21 never been able to use any of these
- should you be asking the question if we are committed to paying a certain amount of \$ what is the best return \$, environ and social

Maybe Australia has to come of Age and accept farmers need to be reimbursed for the other services they provide for free - because it has a good payoff to the whole community – if you do not we will all be worse off.

Do not misunderstand me – agriculture will always survive but not in a manner which benefits the whole community.

You have a huge task – do not waste this opportunity.

Best wishes

Charles Nason Producer (or peasant?) "Banoona" roma

PS much of training should be aimed at policy makers rather than farmers