AICD POLICY & ADVOCACY

POSITION PAPER NO. 12 October 2008



Remuneration of Non-Executive Directors

This position paper represents an amalgamation of comments previously made by the Australian Institute of Company Directors (AICD) on Non-Executive Director Remuneration. The paper has been prepared with a view to presenting AICD's policy positions in a readily accessible form.

Introduction

Boards of Directors fulfill an important role in the companies they serve. Good remuneration practices attract, retain and motivate directors of a high calibre, and thereby contribute to greater corporate effectiveness.

Good practice in setting director remuneration

AICD believes it is good practice when structuring non-executive director remuneration to adhere to the following general guidelines:

- boards should have in place formal and transparent processes for determining remuneration
- remuneration should reflect the duties, responsibilities and risks of the role in a reasonable manner
- no director should be involved in deciding his or her own remuneration; rather
 professional advice should be sought in determining director remuneration levels
 and structure
- remuneration should be predominantly in the form of fixed fees
- options should not be granted to non-executive directors, unless there are special circumstances (see below)
- non-executives directors should not participate in bonus schemes designed for executives
- there should be no retirement benefits other than superannuation, however a severance payment in certain situations is considered appropriate (see below)
- boards should ensure their remuneration caps are regularly reviewed and are sufficient for the reasonably foreseeable future
- where substantial extra time and effort is required of directors in response to particular corporate situations or events, and this commitment is reasonably considered to be extraordinary, it is appropriate that such directors be entitled to additional remuneration (see below)
- corporate constitutions should be clear on directors' fees and exertion allowances.



Level of remuneration

should take into account a number of elements, including: The level of remuneration for non-executive directors often varies between companies. It

- the company's existing remuneration policies
- the time needed for the task
- the risks inherent in the directorship
- qualifications and experience of the individual concerned
- industry comparisons
- the size and complexity of the company's operations, for example, the nature and diversity, technology used variety of its businesses, geographic locations, national and international
- the number and extent of board and related sub-committee meetings

a level that is a multiple of other directors' remuneration, to acknowledge the additional remunerated for their contributions in those roles. workload. It may also be appropriate for committee chairmen or members to be undertake for individual companies. For example, the chairman should be remunerated at Non-executive directors should be remunerated according to the specific activities they

Exchange's (ASX's) listing rules. 1 those approved by shareholders. To do so would breach the Australian Securities Irrespective of what their constitution says, listed companies cannot pay fees beyond

expected time commitment and what will happen in cases where extraordinary additional Companies should ensure letters of appointment for board members are clear as to the exertions are required (see below).

Structure of remuneration

of remuneration for each director. When deciding the appropriate level of remuneration the board needs to consider the capacity and workload of each non-executive director. AICD recommends the board determines the individual level and appropriate components

contributions is appropriate in most situations, and that the board (or if so delegated, the approach, AICD considers a combination of fees, shares and superannuation to adopt, it should be transparent and straightforward. Without endorsing any specific that boards seek professional advice in this context. Whatever structure the board decides AICD does not endorse any particular remuneration structure, but strongly recommends

this cap without the prior approval of shareholders. ASX listed companies set an upper limit, or cap, on what can be paid to directors by way of fees (this does not include salaries paid to executive directors). ASX listing rule 10.17 states a company must not increase

SOUTH SECTION OF SECTI





category and this decision should relate to a director's specific personal circumstances. freedom to determine the proportion of their total remuneration that falls into each remuneration and policies related to the level of remuneration for each individual director. Further, AICD supports the view that individual directors should have the Remuneration Committee) should determine its attitude toward the components of overall

director, within a fee cap approved by the shareholders. AICD believes the board should make the final decision about the remuneration of each

Equity Grants to Non-Executives

serving on the board. also encourages schemes that ensure directors hold these shares so long as they are with shareholder interests, AICD encourages the purchase of shares at market price by directors through the sacrifice of a portion of their fees under a company scheme.² AIC Acknowledging that ownership of a company's shares by its directors aids in alignment AICD

directors' and shareholders' interests are aligned. In particular the possibility of immediate absolute and relative terms. Options allocated in this manner must be fully disclosed management's efforts should be minimised through the use of appropriate hurdles in both windfall gains through market price movements unconnected to the board's and payments. In these circumstances the allocation of options should be structured so that non-executive director remuneration where the company is unable to make cash options may be acceptable. For example, a start up company uses options as a means of be discouraged. However, there are some relatively rare circumstances where the use of on the independence or the perception of independence of non-executive directors should shares to non-executive directors. In this regard, any arrangement that negatively impacts Except as discussed below, AICD does not support the granting of options or partly paid

Exertion Allowances

directors be entitled to additional remuneration. Where the board approves such demands on directors. AICD believes that where substantial extra time and effort is of the standard fee level. commitment and the level of complexity and responsibility, considered within the context additional remuneration or exertion allowance, it should take into account both the time commitment is reasonably considered to be extraordinary, it is appropriate that such required of directors in response to particular corporate situations or events, and this usual, however it is recognised there may from time to time be considerable additional Boards set annual fees within the shareholder approved cap on the basis it is business as

² Directors should be mindful of their obligations to report such share transactions in accordance with the section 205G of the Corporations Act, as well as insider trading laws.

当のひずひにのく ぬ みひぐりのふうく





Examples of additional responsibilities include:

- involvement in ad hoc due diligence procedures, such as sitting on a specially convened due diligence committee
- work on merger and acquisition related activities where the proper discharge of committee meetings. directors' duties may require substantial involvement outside ordinary board and

that a director may provide to a company with which he or she is associated and for which fees should be agreed on an 'arms length' basis. Exertion allowances are separate from any independent consulting or advisory services

remuneration in reasonable³. Depending on the quantum of the proposed additional additional remuneration should be fully disclosed in the company's annual remuneration as they relate to the company. Whether or not shareholder approval is obtained, the be demonstrated that the amounts concerned are reasonable in the specific circumstances remuneration specialist should be obtained in advance of any payments to ensure it can directors as a whole, shareholder approval is usually not necessary if the additional amount approved by the shareholders as the maximum amount that may be paid to the remuneration, Boards may consider that the advice of an external independent Where the exertion allowances, together with the standard annual fees, fall within the

Retirement Allowances

that such arrangements do no represent good corporate governance practice incidence of such allowances has declined considerably. This reflects growing sentiment executive directors with a promised end-benefit retirement allowance. Since that time the It was not uncommon in the early 1990s for Australian companies to provide non-

allowances do exist, they should be fully disclosed in a company's annual remuneration determining whether aggregate payments fall under the directors' fee cap report, and included in the calculation of director fees paid for the purposes of additional to Superannuation Guarantee Contribution (SGC). Where such additional longevity of service, and supports the abolition of retirement allowances that are AICD believes directors' remuneration should reflect contribution to a board rather than

Position Paper No. 12

October 2008

³ Refer to sections 208 and 210 of the Corporations Act.
⁴ For example, when the ASX Corporate Governance Council first released its Principles of Good Corporate Governance, in March 2003, the Council stated by way of guidance that "Non-executive directors should not be provided with retirement benefits other than statutory superannuation" (Commentary and guidance under Recommendation 9.3).

ACCUPATION & ACHORACY





Severance Payments

should not exceed the unexpired portion of the three year term. his or her seat on the board. In some cases the level of the severance payment will be set out in a director's service agreement. In any event, the amount of the severance payment severance payment is appropriate where a company is taken over and the director loses alternative appointments is lessened during that time. In light of this, AICD believes a Directors are typically appointed for a three year term and their ability to accept In any event, the amount of the severance payment

Remuneration Committee

remuneration. In making these recommendations, the Remuneration Committee should evaluate and make recommendations to the board in relation to non-executive director source advice from external advisors in relation to market trends for non-executive The board may find it beneficial to establish a Remuneration Committee to review, director remuneration.

refer to: For further guidelines on good corporate governance relating to remuneration matters

AICD, Remuneration Committees; Good Practice Guide, 2004

AICD Position Paper No. 10, "Director Share Trading", June 2008

ASX Corporate Governance Council, Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations, 2nd edition, 2007

Position Paper No. 12

October 2008

Page 5 of 6

company should be entitled to termination benefits by reason of a change in shareholding or control of the company (ie unless at a later stage a director loses his or her seat). ASX listing rule 10.19 that a company must ensure, in the absence of shareholder approval, that no officer is entitled to termination benefits if the value of those benefits and the termination benefits that are or may become payable to all officers together ⁵ The ASX listing rules are also relevant in this context. ASX listing rule 10.18 states that no officer of a exceed 5% of the company's equity interests as set out in the latest accounts given to ASX under the listing

さらいっている。これでいるからいろう

POSITION PAPER NO. 12 October 2008



Disclaimer

© This material is subject to copyright. It may not be reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or part without prior written pennission.

implied, as to accuracy, completeness, reliability or accuracy of the information. It should not be used or relied upon as a substitute for proper professional advice or as a basis for formulating business decisions. Information is subject to change without notice. AICD will not be liable for any damages of any kind to any person who acts or relies upon the information provided. This material has been prepared for information purposes only and does not constitute legal, accounting or other professional advice. While all reasonable care has been taken in its preparation, the Australian Institute of Company Directors (AICD) makes no representation or warranty of any kind, express or

Links to third party websites are provided for convenience only and do not represent endorsement, sponsorship or approval of products or service offered.