

# Intellectual Property Arrangements

Roundtable on fair use

Jonathan Coppel & Karen Chester Commissioners



### **About the inquiry**

#### How did we go about this task?

- Asked by government to look at Australia's IP arrangements to ensure they encourage creativity and user access
- Sought evidence:
  - Consultations and roundtables
  - Public submissions
  - Draft report for comment and feedback

#### How did we go about this task?

- More consultations to come
  - Submissions on draft report
  - Additional roundtables
  - Hearings
  - Final report to be delivered to government later this year



### **About today**

#### Purpose of roundtable

- Discuss the draft recommendation on fair use
- Seek further evidence on the magnitude of costs and benefits
- Reduce any ambiguity to ensure final recommendation is clear and understandable
- Highlight any other ambiguities

#### **Conduct of roundtable**

- Discussions today will occur under 'Chatham House' rules
- We will provide a copy of this presentation and a list of roundtable attendees on our webpage shortly

#### **Specific issues to cover today**

- Certainty/uncertainty tradeoff
- Fairness factors
- Illustrative uses
  - Fair use and statutory licensing



# Our draft fair use recommendation: what did we recommend and why?

# What we consider current deficiencies in the system

- Exceptions an important part of getting the balance right
- Current exceptions are narrow and legalistic, rather than focused on the principle of fairness
- Past changes to exceptions have largely just 'caught up' with society
- Previous expansions of copyright not balanced by expansion of user rights

#### What did we recommend?

- Draft recommendation has several parts:
  - Closed fair dealing exceptions replaced with open-ended fair use exception
  - Exception should include `fairness factors' to guide user and judicial decisions
  - Non-exhaustive list of uses provide further guidance and certainty
  - An 'objects clause' and clear explanatory memorandum to provide further guidance

#### Some key differences

#### Fair dealing

- Existing arrangements potentially more certain
- Responsibility for changing exceptions lies with elected representatives

#### Fair use

- Is general can apply to any circumstance provided a use is fair
- Allows the law to adapt to new legitimate uses
- Can permit new uses that don't undermine economic incentive to create

#### Options for reducing uncertainty

- An objects clause in the Act to guide the application of the exception
- Guidance in the legislation similar to current research and study exception
- Guidelines developed by content creators and user groups
- Use of foreign jurisprudence

#### **Questions for discussion**

- Is fair use as uncertain as argued?
  - Is fair dealing as certain as argued?
- Can guidelines reduce uncertainty?
- Should guidance be embedded in legislation?

#### **Our draft fairness factors**

- The effect of the use on the market for the copyright protected work at the time of the use
- The amount, substantiality or proportion of the work used, and the degree of transformation applied to the work
- The commercial availability of the work at the time of the infringement
- The purpose and character of the use, including whether the use is commercial or private use.

#### Just a reminder.... the ALRC factors

- the purpose and character of the use
- the nature of the copyright material
- the amount and substantiality of the part used
- the effect of the use upon the potential market for, or value of, the copyright material

#### Why different factors to the ALRC?

- ALRC approached fair use from a legal perspective
  - Build on Australian fair dealing
  - Minimise difference to fair use overseas
- Our recommendation focusses on achieving an economic outcome
- Feedback in submissions suggesting overlap between the two approaches

#### **Questions on fairness factors**

- What is the likely impact of these factors?
- Are there meaningful differences between the PC and ALRC factors?
- Any potential unintended consequences from the factors?
- Can the fairness factors account for other issues, such orphan works?

#### Non-exhaustive illustrative uses

- Fair use should have illustrative uses
  - Act as guidance to users and the courts about the kinds of uses that should be considered fair
- Drawn from the list proposed by the Australian Law Reform Commission
  - Expanding on existing fair dealing and other exceptions

#### Non-exhaustive illustrative uses

#### Fair dealing exceptions

- Research or study
- Criticism or review
- Parody or satire
- Reporting news
- Professional advice

## Additional illustrative fair uses

- Education
- Access for people with disability

# Picking up other existing exceptions

- Quotation
- Non-commercial private use
- Incidental or technical use
- Library or archive use

#### Fair use and statutory licensing

- Not all illustrative uses will be 'fair'
- Statutory licensing designed to reduce transaction costs for the government and education sectors
- Where a use is considered 'fair' it should be non-remunerable
  - Statutory licences exist for all other uses

#### Impact of fair use for education?

- Illustrative uses are just that illustrative
- Educational institutions could potentially rely on fair use with or without it being an illustrative use
- Fairness factors designed to account for harm to creators, amount of copying and degree of transformation

#### Questions

- What role do illustrative uses play in fostering certainty?
  - Are they necessary in an open ended exception regime?
- Should other illustrative uses be included?

#### Further material on our website

- Copyright fact sheets and draft available on our website
- http://www.pc.gov.au/inquiries/curre nt/intellectual-property/