

CREATING A LEARNING SOCIETY

*A New Approach to Growth,
Development, and Social Progress.*

Joseph E. Stiglitz
Columbia University

Two themes

- Successful and *sustained* growth requires creating a learning society.
 - Especially in the 21st century, as we move to a knowledge economy.
- Markets on their own will not do this
 - There needs to be systematic interventions by the government

On the importance of Creating a Learning Society

- The transformation to “learning societies” that occurred around 1800 for Western economies, and more recently for those in Asia, appears to have had a far, far greater impact on human well-being than improvements in allocative efficiency or resource accumulation.
 - For hundreds of years standards of living had remained essentially unchanged
- Since Solow, we have recognized that the most important determinant of growth is technological change
 - Recognized earlier by Schumpeter, but Solow gave us first quantification
 - Our focus should be on the impact of policies on technological change, learning

Gaps in knowledge

- In case of developing countries, focus on diffusion of knowledge
 - From developed to developing country
 - What separates developing from developed countries is as much a gap in knowledge as a gap in resources
- But even in developed countries, large gaps between productivity of best and other firms
 - Undermines concept of an aggregate production function

Market failure

- Markets, on their own, are not efficient in promoting innovation.
 - Since Arrow, recognized that markets by themselves do not yield efficiency in the production and dissemination of knowledge
 - Knowledge as a public good
 - Spillovers/externalities
 - Other imperfections (capital markets, imperfect competition) inherently associated with innovation
- Changed presumption from Smith's invisible hand
 - Production of knowledge/learning different from production of conventional commodities
 - Results consistent with Greenwald-Stiglitz theorem
 - Whenever information is imperfect/asymmetric, risk markets imperfect markets are not (constrained Pareto) efficient
 - Information is similar to knowledge, so result not surprise

Government policy

- The policies that promote a transformation to a learning society are markedly different than those traditionally advocated by economists, which focus on improving the *static* efficiency of resource allocation and the accumulation of capital.
- Including policies that constituted the Washington Consensus.
- Indeed, from the perspective of creating a learning society, those policies may be counterproductive.

Long recognized conflict between static and dynamic perspectives

- Intellectual property restricts use of knowledge (a distortion— knowledge is a public good), and can even contribute to monopoly.
- Willing to accept because dynamic benefits outweigh static costs
 - May be negative dynamic benefits (US)
- Important to have a “developmentally oriented” intellectual property regime
 - With poorly designed IP regime, dynamic benefits less than the costs
 - TRIPS (regime of WTO) is *NOT* developmentally oriented
 - But important for countries to make full use of latitude given by TRIPS

Implies that a central question of growth and development should be:

- What should governments do to promote growth through learning (technological progress)?
 - Question is especially salient because such policies may be in conflict with conventionally advocated policies
- Book looks comprehensively at factors affecting learning
 - Education system
 - The economy's innovation system, including IPR and technology policy
 - Macro-economic policies, including exchange rate policy
 - Industrial and trade policies
 - Investment policies

Multiple dimensions

- How they affect capabilities of learning
- How they affect incentives to learn (motivate learning)
- How they facilitate learning and catalyze it
 - Including mindsets that are conducive to learning
 - Importance of the Enlightenment
- How they impose impediments to learning
- How does learning occur
 - Especial attention to learning by doing
- Learning to learn

- This lecture will focus on role of education system and trade and industrial policies

- Based on

Creating a Learning Society: A New Approach to Growth, Development, and Social Progress with Bruce Greenwald
(Columbia University Press, 2014)

I. Education

- Needs to focus on “learning to learn”—life long learning
- Only small part of learning occurs in “formal” schooling
- Have to understand role of formal schooling vs. “life long learning”
 - Relationship changing with increasing pace of innovation, changes in labor market
 - Relationship changing with ability to access “knowledge” on internet
 - Need to know how to access, evaluate, and analyze knowledge base which is readily available
- Changes in technology are allowing changes in learning

Changes in education on the job

- Less provision of education by employers
 - With greater labor mobility
- Greater uncertainty about nature of future jobs
- Implying greater need for individuals to have access to relevant continuing education

Learning perspective has changed thinking about education in developing countries

- Importance not just of primary education but secondary and tertiary
- Learning skills that enable individuals to learn in the contexts in which they live
 - With many continuing to live in rural sector, a rural-based education—not just qualifying individuals for urban jobs

Among central messages of WDR *Knowledge for Development*

II. New perspectives on trade

- Standard theories
 - Focus on comparative advantage
 - One-time gain from liberalization, opening up markets
- Technology-based learning theories
 - Focus on diffusion of technology from developed to less developed countries
 - And **spillovers** from one sector to other
 - And learning within any sector
 - Within all countries, there are large differences between average and best practices
 - Suggesting large scope for “learning”
 - Localized learning—localized to technologies
 - Similar technologies can be used across sectors

Dynamic comparative advantage— comparative advantage is endogenous

- With learning by doing affected by what a country produces
- Central then is understanding the structure of learning within an economy—including within and across sectors
 - Many processes, practices, and institutions entail cross-sector learning/increases in productivity
 - Inventory control processes
 - Labor management processes
 - Computerization
 - Financial services

Infant industry argument

- Infant industries—economies of scale
 - Losses during “learning phase” serve as entry barriers, putting developing countries at disadvantage

- In fact, learning by doing itself provides little basis of industrial policy
 - Consider a two-country, two-product Ricardian world with Cobb-Douglas utility functions, with one product with learning and the other stagnant (learning internalized in country)
 - Consider equilibrium in which “developed” country specializes in dynamic sector
 - With competition, full benefits of learning are shared with developing country through price declines

Infant *economy* argument for protection

- The industrial sector (broadly understood, including modern services) may not only exhibit a larger learning elasticity, but also more spillovers to the rural/agricultural sector
- Markets fail to take into account of these externalities on their own
- Korea provides an example of effective use of such policies

Other market failures endemic to “learning”

- Two cases:
- Learning external to the firm
 - Failure to take into account learning benefits to industry as well as spillovers
- Learning limited to the firm
 - Natural monopoly
 - If there were no cross-sectoral spillovers, rational firm would take into account all learning benefits
 - But distortion from monopoly power
- In both cases, in general, market equilibrium not efficient

*Advantages of industrial sector

- Large—high returns to scale
- Long-lived—high returns from continuity (learning to learn)
- Stable—high returns from completion
- Concentrated—high rates of diffusion

*Strong industrial sector is basis for:

- More research—
 - More resources and incentives for research and development
 - More internalization
 - Greater ability to support public research and development
 - More human capital formation, including public support for human capital accumulation
- The development of a robust financial sector
- Learning to learn and cross-border knowledge flows

Implication: Rate of productivity increase related to (relative) size of industrial sector.

Policies

- Optimal to impose some subsidies, even if taxes to finance subsidies are distortionary
- Optimal subsidies lead to expansion of those sectors that have larger societal learning benefits, taking into account both direct learning and cross sectoral spillovers.
 - If the learning elasticity of some sector is much larger than that of others, and there is some sector that is a substitute for the high-learning sector, then it may pay to tax that sector, in order to encourage learning in the high-learning sector
- Book provides precise formulae (analogous to Ramsey formulae) for optimal subsidies and taxes

Trade protection is an alternative

- Especially relevant where government cannot raise revenues through taxation to finance subsidies
- Quotas, tariffs can encourage industrial sector

Industrial policy in the presence of WTO constraints

- Exchange rate policy may be an effective alternative
 - Lowering exchange rate below “equilibrium” (trade balance) leads to larger industrial sector and faster learning and trade surplus
 - Avoids the problem of “picking winners”
 - Avoids the problems posed by WTO restrictions
- Even pays to have a *perpetual* current account surplus
 - Surprising — “capital” that one never uses
 - But learning benefit exceeds the opportunity cost of funds

- But even if it were not desirable to do it *forever*, it may be an important element of development strategy
 - Problem with using steady-state models

Extensions

- Trade policy can affect factor prices, and therefore the level of investment, and therefore the level of learning
 - More than offsetting the social costs of distortion

Learning to learn

- **We have focused on “learning,” but even more important is “learning to learn”**
 - Industrial and trade policy can enhance an economy’s learning capacities
 - Introduces complex strategic questions

Political economy objection

- Ideal government intervention might improve matters
 - But real world interventions do not
- Political economy objections may be true—but conclusion based on political analysis, not economic analysis
 - Political analysis often more simplistic than economic analysis
 - Moreover, liberalization is also a political agenda
 - Not “perfectly applied”
 - Asymmetric application can have adverse welfare effects

Political economy objections

- Critique of infant economy argument in particular
 - Government can't pick winners
 - Infants never grow up
 - Better ways of providing assistance than protection—direct and transparent subsidies
- Replies to critiques
 - Almost every successful country has had “industrial policies”
 - US from 19th century (telecommunications, agriculture)
 - Today mostly through Defense Department
 - Including Internet and biotech
 - With private sector playing central role in bringing innovation to market
 - Successful countries learned how to manage “political economy” problems

- Point of industrial policies is not to pick winners, but to identify externalities and other market failures
 - With imperfect capital markets, can't borrow to finance initial losses
 - Imperfections of capital markets are endemic (asymmetries of information)
 - Especially in developing countries
- Besides, we don't reject "monetary policy" simply because there have been failures

lesson

- Design of industrial policy has to reflect capacities and capabilities of government
- Broad-based export subsidies (as in East Asia) may be a desirable way of promoting industrial sector (including through exchange rate policies)

III. Other implications of new theory

- Theory of the firm
 - Not based on transactions costs (Coase)
 - Knowledge moves more freely within firms than across firm boundaries
 - Resource allocations within firm are typically not based on prices, or even contracts
 - Trade-off between “learning” and “allocative efficiency”

IV. General lessons

- Another example of 2nd best economics
- But whenever one talks about innovation, one is in the world of 2nd-best economics
 - Credit/revenue constraints are also likely to be particularly important
 - Imperfect competition/increasing returns to scale
 - Risk, with imperfect risk markets
 - All elements of standard Schumpeterian economics
 - Should be at the center of endogenous growth theory and growth policy

General lessons

- Policies often based on simplistic models
 - Simplistic models consistent with simplistic ideologies
 - And used by special interests to advance particular policy agenda
 - Trade and capital market liberalization can make everyone worse off (Pareto inferior trade and liberalization) if there are imperfect risk markets (Newbery-Stiglitz, 1982)

V. Growth, learning and innovation: To what end?

- Much of innovation in advanced industrial economies has been directed towards saving labor
 - But in many developing countries, labor is in surplus, and unemployment is the problem
 - Labor saving innovations exacerbate this key social problem

- It is natural resources/the environment which is “underpriced”
 - And innovation needs to be directed at saving resources and protecting the environment
 - Cannot just “borrow”/adapt technology from the North
 - Need a new “model” of innovation

- These environmental impacts are important for all countries, but especially for developing countries
- What matters is not GDP, but the quality of life, “well-being” and individual capabilities
 - What that entails—and how it can be increased— should and can be a subject of rational inquiry
 - Has been an area in which Sen has made major contributions
 - Subject of Sen-Fitoussi-Stiglitz International Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress

V. Social transformation and the creation of a learning society

- *Perceptions (beliefs) affect actions (choices) and are shaped by cognitive frames*
- *The categories that shape cognition are social constructions.*
- *Because belief systems affect the equilibrium, e.g. by shaping perceptions, elites have a strong incentive to influence people's beliefs*
 - In contrast, in a RE equilibrium cognitive frames play no role

- *Those in “power” typically do not control all the determinants of the evolution of beliefs*
 - Cultures are always contested.
- *The general beliefs about the world are a state variable that determine which beliefs are acceptable.*
- How such belief systems change—and how those (like governments) who seek to deliberately change belief systems—is thus a core part of developmental analysis

(Analysis based on K. Hoff and J. E. Stiglitz, 2010, “Equilibrium Fictions: A Cognitive Approach to Societal Rigidity,” *American Economic Review*, 100(2): 141-146)

VI. Democracy and the creation of a learning society

- Ideas concerning human rights and democracy have been among the most important in shaping what is and is not acceptable
- Democratic ideals question authority
- Same frame of mind which is so essential for creating a dynamic, learning economy and society
- A more open society generates more ideas, a flow of “mutations,” which provides not only excitement, but the possibility of dynamic evolution, rather than stasis

Non-inclusive growth can lead to a failure to create a learning society

- Unfortunately, even if in the long run, a more dynamic society benefits most members of society, in the short run, there can be (and normally will be) losers
 - Trickle-down economics doesn't work
 - WC policies were often anti-poor (worse than failure to be pro-poor)
- Democratic processes can be shaped, and there are incentives on the part of some to maintain existing inequities
- Democratic processes can then lead to the antithesis of an open and transparent society

The political economy of inclusiveness and openness

- Critique of non-inclusive growth goes beyond that it is a waste of a country's most valuable resource—its human talent—to fail to ensure that everyone lives up to his or her abilities
- Government needs to play an important role in any economy, correcting pervasive market failures, but especially in the “creative economy”
- In a society with very little inequality, the only role of the state is to provide collective goods and correct market failures
- When there are large inequalities, interests differ
 - Distributive battles inevitably rage
 - To prevent redistribution, role of government is circumscribed
 - But in circumscribing government, ability to perform positive roles is also circumscribed

Adverse dynamic

- More inequality—more circumscribed government
- Leading to more inequality
- In the long run—more unstable, lower growth
- Some fear that US has now embarked on this adverse dynamic
 - Less equality of opportunity, more inequality, than some countries of “old Europe”

VII. General principles of a learning society have broad implications

For entire economic regime:

- Financial and capital market liberalization
 - Affects ability to learn how to allocate capital
- The design of monetary policy and institutions
- Intellectual property regimes
- Investment treaties,
- Taxation, and expenditures on infrastructure, education, and technology
- Legal frameworks for corporate governance and bankruptcy

Objective of this lecture

- A new lens through which one can examine these and other policy choices facing developing countries in the coming years
- Countries might like to pretend that it could avoid matters of industrial policy—following the neoliberal doctrines that these are matters to be left to the market
- But they cannot
- The choices they makes in each of these arenas will inevitably shape the economy, politics and society, for better or for worse, for decades to come.