
Errata — Report on Government Services 2010

The following amendment was made to the 2010 Report since it was released in January 2010.

Part C — Justice Preface

Police expenditure data provided for Victoria have been revised (Errata: Chapter 6 Police services). Police recurrent expenditure for 2008-09 was over-stated by \$173 million. In addition the corrective services expenditure data provided for the ACT have been revised (Errata: Chapter 8 Corrective services). Corrective services recurrent expenditure for 2008-09 was under-stated by \$8 million.

The revisions affect the following:

- text on page C.12 and associated table C.5 on page C.13 ‘Real recurrent expenditure (less revenue from own sources) on justice services by Australian, State and Territory governments (2008-09 dollars)’ — reflects the decrease in police recurrent expenditure of \$173 million and the increase in corrective services of \$8 million in 2008-09. Overall the revisions are reflected by a decrease in the total justice system expenditure of \$165 million for 2008-09
- text on page C.13 and associated table C.6 on page C.14 ‘Real recurrent expenditure (less revenue from own sources) per person on justice services, 2008-09’. The revisions are reflected by — a decrease in recurrent expenditure on police in Victoria (\$32 per person), the total justice system in Victoria (\$33 per person) and the Australian figure for police (\$8 per person); an increase in recurrent expenditure per person on corrective services in the ACT (\$23 per person), the total justice system in the ACT (\$23 per person) and the Australian figure for corrective services (\$1 per person); and an overall decrease in the Australian figure for the total justice system (\$8 per person).
- table C.7 on page C.15 ‘Real recurrent expenditure (less revenue from own sources) per person on the justice system — time series and growth rates (2008-09 dollars)’. The revisions are reflected by — a decrease in recurrent expenditure per person for Victoria (\$33) in 2008-09; an increase in recurrent expenditure per person for the ACT (\$23) in 2008-09; and an overall decrease in the associated Australian figure for 2008-09.

The revised chapter text and figures are reproduced below.

Revised text on page C.12

Total real recurrent expenditure (less revenue from own sources) for those parts of the justice system covered in this Report was \$11.6 billion in 2008-09 (table C.5).

Revised figure C.5 on page C.13

Table C.5 Real recurrent expenditure (less revenue from own sources) on justice services by Australian, State and Territory governments (2008-09 dollars)^{a, b, c, d, e}

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	Average annual growth rate
	\$m	\$m	\$m	\$m	\$m	%
Police services	6 837	7 103	7 311	7 510	7 680	2.9
Court admin. — criminal	570	579	602	625	648	3.3
Court admin. — civil ^f	594	603	616	624	598	0.2
Corrective services	2 218	2 350	2 433	2 558	2 695	5.0
Total justice system	10 219	10 635	10 963	11 317	11 621	3.3
	%	%	%	%	%	
Police services	66.9	66.8	66.7	66.4	66.1	..
Court admin. — criminal	5.6	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.6	..
Court admin. — civil ^f	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.1	..
Corrective services	21.7	22.1	22.2	22.6	23.2	..
Total justice system	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	..

^a Totals may not sum as a result of rounding. ^b Expenditure data for all services include depreciation, but exclude payroll tax and user cost of capital. This treatment has been adopted to aid comparability in the above table and may differ from the treatment used in tables within individual chapters. ^c Excludes expenditure on justice services out of the scope of this Report (for example, expenditure on specialist courts). ^d Data relating to courts may differ from those in previous Reports because revenue offsets against expenditure had previously been miscalculated. ^e Real expenditure based on the ABS gross domestic product price deflator (2008-09 = 100). ^f Civil real net recurrent expenditure for court administration excludes real net recurrent expenditure on probate matters. .. Not applicable.

Source: Australian, State and Territory governments (unpublished); tables 6A.10, 7A.12-13, 8A.12 and AA.2.

Revised text on page C.13

Nationally, real expenditure (less revenue from own sources) per person on justice in 2008-09 was \$537 (table C.6).

Revised figure C.6 on page C.14

Table C.6 Real recurrent expenditure (less revenue from own sources) per person on justice services, 2008-09^{a, b, c, d, e}

	Unit	NSW	Vic	Qld	WA	SA	Tas	ACT	NT	Aust
Police services	\$	347	317	344	428	354	366	379	966	355
Court admin. — criminal	\$	26	28	27	45	31	29	37	76	30
Court admin. — civil ^{f, g}	\$	13	15	12	31	14	10	27	43	28
Corrective services	\$	139	86	115	187	105	117	115	360	125
Total justice system	\$	525	445	497	691	504	522	559	1445	537
Police services	%	66.1	71.1	69.1	61.9	70.3	70.1	67.8	66.9	66.1
Court admin. — criminal	%	5.0	6.4	5.5	6.5	6.2	5.6	6.7	5.3	5.6
Court admin. — civil ^{f, g}	%	2.5	3.3	2.3	4.5	2.8	1.9	4.8	2.9	5.1
Corrective services	%	26.4	19.3	23.0	27.1	20.8	22.4	20.7	24.9	23.2
Total justice system	%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

^a Totals may not sum as a result of rounding. ^b Expenditure data for all services include depreciation, but exclude payroll tax and user cost of capital. This treatment has been adopted to aid comparability in the above table and may differ from the treatment used in tables within individual chapters. ^c Population is estimated by taking the midpoint population estimate of the 2008-09 financial year. ^d Excludes expenditure on justice services out of the scope of this Report (for example, expenditure on specialist courts). ^e Real expenditure based on the ABS gross domestic product price deflator (2008-09 = 100). ^f The Australian total includes net court administration expenditure for the Federal Court of Australia, the Family Court of Australia, and the Federal Magistrates Court of Australia, which are not attributed to State or Territory jurisdictions. ^g WA civil net court administration expenditure includes the Family Court of WA, so is not directly comparable with other jurisdictions.

Source: Australian, State and Territory governments (unpublished); tables 6A.10, 7A.12–13, 8A.13 and table AA.2.

Revised figure C.7 on page C.15

Table C.7 Real recurrent expenditure (less revenue from own sources) per person on the justice system — time series and growth rates (2008-09 dollars)^{a, b, c, d, e, f}

	Unit	NSW	Vic	Qld	WA	SA	Tas	ACT	NT	Aust
2004-05	\$	508	422	463	617	466	448	499	1 310	505
2005-06	\$	519	435	478	631	480	474	485	1 327	518
2006-07	\$	526	446	478	658	479	507	481	1 301	526
2007-08	\$	528	444	496	678	500	496	523	1 319	534
2008-09	\$	525	445	497	691	504	522	559	1 445	537
Average annual growth rate	%	0.8	1.3	1.8	2.9	2.0	3.9	2.9	2.5	1.6

^a Excludes payroll tax. ^b Population is estimated by taking the midpoint population estimate of the relevant financial year. ^c Supreme court probate expenditure is not included in these totals. ^d The Australian total includes net court administration expenditure for the Federal Court of Australia, the Family Court of Australia and the Federal Magistrates Court of Australia, which are not attributed to state or territory jurisdictions. ^e Real expenditure based on the ABS gross domestic product price deflator (2008-09 = 100). ^f WA net expenditure includes the Family Court of WA, so is not directly comparable with other jurisdictions.

Source: Australian, State and Territory governments (unpublished); tables 6A.10, 7A.12-13, 8A.12 and table AA.2.