What is productivity?

Productivity is a measure of the rate at which output of goods and services are produced per unit of input (labour, capital, raw materials, etc.). It is calculated as the ratio of the quantity of output produced to some measure of the quantity of inputs used.

Many factors can affect productivity growth. These include technological improvements, economies of scale and scope, workforce skills, management practices, changes in other inputs (such as capital), competitive pressures and the stage of the business cycle.

Why does it matter?

Productivity has been the driver of long term improvements in living standards. For example, the average Australian worker produces about as much in one hour today as it took a full day’s work to produce at Federation in 1901 (figure 1). This improvement in productivity has allowed incomes to rise even while working hours have fallen and Australian households have been able to enjoy more leisure (box 1).

What are the main measures?

Economists use two main measures of productivity:

- Labour productivity is the ratio of output to hours worked. Over the long term, wages grow in step with labour productivity and as such it is a key determinant of income growth.
- Multifactor productivity (MFP) is the ratio of output to combined input of labour and capital. It is a better measure of technological change and efficiency improvements than labour productivity.

Usually, the growth in labour productivity exceeds the growth in multifactor productivity. The additional contribution comes from ‘capital deepening’. That is, the accumulation of more and better capital equipment over time helps to make people more productive.

How do we measure outputs and inputs?

The output of simple businesses can sometimes be measured in physical units, such as the number of shoes or tons of steel. However, when thinking about entire industries or the economy as a whole, the range of different outputs need to be added together. The Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) calculates productivity using a measure of output called ‘gross value added’ (GVA), which is the value of the output produced by a firm minus the intermediate inputs used (materials, services and energy used in production).
Figure 1  **Australians have grown steadily richer due to productivity growth**
GDP per capita and labour productivity growth since Federation

![Figure 1: Graph showing the growth in GDP per capita and labour productivity in Australia from 1901 to 2011.](image)

**Sources:** ABS (2019, *Australian Historical Population Statistics*, Cat. no. 3105.0.65.001, table 1); Bergeaud et al. (2016); Bolt et al. (2018).
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**Box 1  Benefits of productivity growth**

Productivity growth has been one of the primary drivers of increasing living standards for Australians. Put simply, the more goods and services a society can produce with a given set of inputs, the greater the material standard of living of that society. In terms of how this affects ordinary citizens on a day-to-day basis, the most noticeable effects will be:

- **more leisure:** as labour productivity improves, workers can achieve the same standard of living by working fewer hours
- **greater number of market goods and services:** alternatively, these workers could choose to consume more goods and services
- **greater number of public goods and services:** the additional tax income available can be used to fund more hospitals, schools and emergency services.
- **lower labour cost of goods and services:** the number of hours a worker needs to work to buy any particular product should fall for most goods over time as productivity increases (box 3).
Two main inputs are usually measured:

- **labour**: typically measured as hours worked by employed people. The ABS provides both a simple aggregate of hours worked, as well as a measure of hours worked that is adjusted for differences in the quality of labour due to education and experience.

- **capital services**: measured as the flow of services coming from the capital stock. The capital stock includes all of the buildings, machinery and equipment, livestock and plantations used to produce goods and services in the Australian economy. It also includes some intangible assets, such as software, research and development and mineral exploration.

**In what parts of the economy is productivity measured?**

The most accurate estimates of productivity are for those industries where prices are set in markets — known as the ‘market sector’. Market prices provide a measure of the quality of different products and make it easier to measure output in terms of real industry gross value added. The ABS provides estimates for two ‘market sectors’ — the 12 and 16 industry market sectors — the latter distinguished by the fact that less historical data are available.

Labour productivity can also be measured for the whole economy (in terms of real GDP per hour worked, box 2). Labour productivity measured in this way contributes to growth in living standards (commonly measured as GDP per capita), but is a poorer indicator of technological change and efficiency improvement because of the difficulty measuring output in health, education and public administration.

**How can productivity be compared across countries?**

While productivity growth rates can be compared readily across countries, productivity level comparisons require estimates of relative prices across countries. For example, if the cost of a hamburger in Japan is higher than the cost of a hamburger in Australia then the value of output in Japan will appear higher. These price differences may reflect differences in fast food worker wages and store rents, rather than differences in quality of service.

To ensure labour productivity levels are comparable between countries, output is converted to US dollars per hour worked based on exchange rates at purchasing power parity.

After making these adjustments, Australia’s labour productivity is high by international standards but is middling among other wealthy nations (figure 2).
Box 2  Productivity measurement: a stylised example

Suppose Ben works in a chocolate factory. Ben’s boss, Colin, wants to measure the labour productivity of his workforce in order to make operational improvements at the factory. Colin estimates that during a 40 hour work week, Ben produces 2000 chocolate bars. So Colin calculates Ben’s labour productivity as:

\[
\frac{2000 \text{ chocolate bars}}{40 \text{ hours worked}} = \frac{50 \text{ chocolate bars}}{\text{ hour}}
\]

While this allows Ben’s performance to be compared to other employees in the chocolate bar branch, Colin cannot compare Ben to employees in the chocolate biscuit division. To allow for comparison, Colin estimates the gross value added of Ben producing 2000 chocolate bars is $4000. Colin then calculates Ben’s labour productivity as:

\[
\frac{4000 \text{ est. gross value}}{40 \text{ hours worked}} = \frac{100 \text{ gross value add}}{\text{ per hour}}
\]
Figure 2  
**Australia's productivity performance is ‘middle of the pack’ among the OECD**
Labour productivity in 2018 (denominated in USD at 2018 PPP)
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Australia has the 15th highest labour productivity in the OECD
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*a* Foreign currencies converted to US dollars using current PPPs.  
*b* Only the 24 longest standing OECD countries were considered. The figure for Turkey is for 2017 as the 2018 figure is not available.

*Source: OECD Stat database.*
Box 3  The ‘labour cost’ of goods has fallen dramatically

Quoting just the increase in GDP per capita fails to capture the enormous change in lifestyle of everyday Australians, including the most disadvantaged members of society, since Federation. For example, the price of individual goods, in terms of hours a person needs to work in order to buy them, has fallen dramatically (see table below). Even rental housing costs, which have risen in real dollar terms, have fallen in labour cost terms — the average person needed to work about 22 hours to rent a three bedroom house in 1901, while in 2019 the same person would need only to have worked for about 12 hours. The bicycle provides a more dramatic example as in 1901 it would have required several months of work to afford, but now requires less than a day of work (for a basic model). These falling costs also likely understate the increased quality of most goods available now compared to what was available at Federation — even the lowest quality new bicycles produced now are much safer and easier to use than those produced then.

More significant in the lives of many people are the goods which are cheaply available now that had not been invented at Federation. Antibiotics, for example, have played a material role in lowering the mortality from infectious disease from about 30 per 10000 people in 1907 to 1 per 10000 people in 2017, and cost very little today.

The price of household goods then and now

Number of hours of work needed to purchase particular goods in 1901 and in 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good</th>
<th>1901 Hours</th>
<th>2000 Hours</th>
<th>2019 Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rent (3 bedroom house)</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>527.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game of football</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rump steak (1 kg)</td>
<td>142.9</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes (1 packet)</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread (a loaf)</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk (1 litre)</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated as the ratio of the average price of a good as a proportion of the average hourly earnings multiplied by the average working week length.
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