# Public Expenditure and Economic Performance: Infrastructure and Productivity

Kevin J. Fox

Centre for Applied Economic Research University of New South Wales K.Fox@unsw.edu.au

17 December, 2007



프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

- The role of public capital in driving private productivity growth. What is the empirical evidence?
- Regional implications, and aggregation issues. Should Perth pay for Sydney's roads?
- Caveats to the empirical findings.
- Issues in assessing the performance of the public sector.

- The role of public capital in driving private productivity growth. What is the empirical evidence?
- Regional implications, and aggregation issues. Should Perth pay for Sydney's roads?
- Caveats to the empirical findings.
- Issues in assessing the performance of the public sector.

- The role of public capital in driving private productivity growth. What is the empirical evidence?
- Regional implications, and aggregation issues. Should Perth pay for Sydney's roads?
- Caveats to the empirical findings.
- Issues in assessing the performance of the public sector.

- The role of public capital in driving private productivity growth. What is the empirical evidence?
- Regional implications, and aggregation issues. Should Perth pay for Sydney's roads?
- Caveats to the empirical findings.
- Issues in assessing the performance of the public sector.

## Public Capital and Private Productivity

- Aschauer (1989): Relatively slower growth in public capital accumulation in 1970s and 1980s was largely responsible for the private sector productivity slowdown.
- Wide range of estimates of output elasticities of public capital in the literature.
- Aggregate production function and cost function specifications common.

## Public Capital and Private Productivity

- Aschauer (1989): Relatively slower growth in public capital accumulation in 1970s and 1980s was largely responsible for the private sector productivity slowdown.
- Wide range of estimates of output elasticities of public capital in the literature.
- Aggregate production function and cost function specifications common.

## Public Capital and Private Productivity

- Aschauer (1989): Relatively slower growth in public capital accumulation in 1970s and 1980s was largely responsible for the private sector productivity slowdown.
- Wide range of estimates of output elasticities of public capital in the literature.
- Aggregate production function and cost function specifications common.

- Evidence suggests very high estimates of the elasticity of private output with respect to public capital, 0.35 to 0.45. (Aschauer, 1989; Munnell, 1990; Otto and Voss, 1994).
- Spurious regression, endogeneity and causality issues examined in considerable detail.
- Estimates using more sophisticated analysis of time series data typically half these estimates. Wide range of estimates in the literature, some not so sensible (Berndt and Hansson, 1991; Swedish data). Often constrained by lack of data.

(4) 医(1) (4) 医(1)

- Evidence suggests very high estimates of the elasticity of private output with respect to public capital, 0.35 to 0.45. (Aschauer, 1989; Munnell, 1990; Otto and Voss, 1994).
- Spurious regression, endogeneity and causality issues examined in considerable detail.
- Estimates using more sophisticated analysis of time series data typically half these estimates. Wide range of estimates in the literature, some not so sensible (Berndt and Hansson, 1991; Swedish data). Often constrained by lack of data.

( ≥) < ≥)</p>

- Evidence suggests very high estimates of the elasticity of private output with respect to public capital, 0.35 to 0.45. (Aschauer, 1989; Munnell, 1990; Otto and Voss, 1994).
- Spurious regression, endogeneity and causality issues examined in considerable detail.
- Estimates using more sophisticated analysis of time series data typically half these estimates. Wide range of estimates in the literature, some not so sensible (Berndt and Hansson, 1991; Swedish data). Often constrained by lack of data.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Otto and Voss (1996): "While it is possible to imagine circumstances where public infrastructure induces economic growth in the private sector, it is also clear that existing empirical studies do not shed much light on the likelihood of such outcomes."
- Aggregate economy results may mask what is happening at sectoral level. Connolly and Fox (2004): Sectoral results for Australia (11 sectors, 1965/66-2001/02). Positive and significant impact of public capital on private MFP for Manufacturing, and Wholesale and Retail.
- Aggregate empirical results suggest much higher return to public capital than suggested by cost-benefit analysis of individual projects — externalities or aggregation effects?

- Otto and Voss (1996): "While it is possible to imagine circumstances where public infrastructure induces economic growth in the private sector, it is also clear that existing empirical studies do not shed much light on the likelihood of such outcomes."
- Aggregate economy results may mask what is happening at sectoral level. Connolly and Fox (2004): Sectoral results for Australia (11 sectors, 1965/66-2001/02). Positive and significant impact of public capital on private MFP for Manufacturing, and Wholesale and Retail.
- Aggregate empirical results suggest much higher return to public capital than suggested by cost-benefit analysis of individual projects — externalities or aggregation effects?

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

- Otto and Voss (1996): "While it is possible to imagine circumstances where public infrastructure induces economic growth in the private sector, it is also clear that existing empirical studies do not shed much light on the likelihood of such outcomes."
- Aggregate economy results may mask what is happening at sectoral level. Connolly and Fox (2004): Sectoral results for Australia (11 sectors, 1965/66-2001/02). Positive and significant impact of public capital on private MFP for Manufacturing, and Wholesale and Retail.
- Aggregate empirical results suggest much higher return to public capital than suggested by cost-benefit analysis of individual projects — externalities or aggregation effects?

(日)(同)((三)((三)(-)))

## **Regional Issues**

- Morrison and Schwartz (1996): State-level data for U.S. manufacturing. Infrastructure investment provides a significant return to firms, and augments productivity growth.
- Haughwout (1998): "[I]t is important to distinguish investments in public goods which add to the productive capacity of the nation as a whole from those that simply provide advantages to some places over others."

★ 문 ► ★ 문 ►

## **Regional Issues**

- Morrison and Schwartz (1996): State-level data for U.S. manufacturing. Infrastructure investment provides a significant return to firms, and augments productivity growth.
- Haughwout (1998): "[I]t is important to distinguish investments in public goods which add to the productive capacity of the nation as a whole from those that simply provide advantages to some places over others."

- Boarnet (1998): California data, 1969-1988. "The data show that changes in county output are positively associated with changes in street-and-highway capital within the same county, but output changes are negatively associated with changes in street-and-highway capital in other counties."
- Because the aggregate production function approach is a partial equilibrium approach and ignores local price effects, it "cannot identify the productivity of public goods" and "national policymakers must avoid using its results in the formation of national investment policies." (Haughwout, 1998)

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

- Boarnet (1998): California data, 1969-1988. "The data show that changes in county output are positively associated with changes in street-and-highway capital within the same county, but output changes are negatively associated with changes in street-and-highway capital in other counties."
- Because the aggregate production function approach is a partial equilibrium approach and ignores local price effects, it "cannot identify the productivity of public goods" and "national policymakers must avoid using its results in the formation of national investment policies." (Haughwout, 1998)

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

 "[T]he public investment decisions we observe are the result of local political processes, and may not be designed to maximize private sector economic returns." (Haughwout, 2002)

#### Further Issues

- Public sector capital is not only an input into private sector production. Produces consumption services, e.g. roads, hospitals, schools. May be more important as input into development of human capital.
- Thus, not all public capital is equal. Policy choices.

#### Further Issues

- Public sector capital is not only an input into private sector production. Produces consumption services, e.g. roads, hospitals, schools. May be more important as input into development of human capital.
- Thus, not all public capital is equal. Policy choices.

- "Because residents vote and firms do not, it is perhaps unsurprising to discover that the marginal public investment dollar provides larger benefits to households than to firms." (Haughwout, 2002)
- Little guidance on the optimal level of public sector capital. Most studies use static partial equilibrium framework. Mixed evidence.

- "Because residents vote and firms do not, it is perhaps unsurprising to discover that the marginal public investment dollar provides larger benefits to households than to firms." (Haughwout, 2002)
- Little guidance on the optimal level of public sector capital. Most studies use static partial equilibrium framework. Mixed evidence.

- "[R]ecent disaggregated studies suggest that the structure of the government budget has much more impact on growth outcomes than does the size of the budget." (Grimes, 2003)
- Efficiency of use of public capital affects service flows.

토 🕨 🖌 토 🕨

- "[R]ecent disaggregated studies suggest that the structure of the government budget has much more impact on growth outcomes than does the size of the budget." (Grimes, 2003)
- Efficiency of use of public capital affects service flows.

### Assessing the Performance of the Public Sector

Managers unconstrained by the rigours of competition " are likely to exploit their advantage much more by not bothering to get very near the position of maximum profit, than by storming themselves to get very close to it. The best of all monopoly profits is a quiet life." (Hicks, 1935)

Increasing pressure on the public sector to "perform" efficiently.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

### Assessing the Performance of the Public Sector

Managers unconstrained by the rigours of competition " are likely to exploit their advantage much more by not bothering to get very near the position of maximum profit, than by storming themselves to get very close to it. The best of all monopoly profits is a quiet life." (Hicks, 1935)

Increasing pressure on the public sector to "perform" efficiently.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Big: General government expenditure as proportion of GDP is around 20%.
- But getting smaller in some ways: ABS treats Telstra as a "private sector unit" from March quarter 2007 national accounts.
- "Owners" are dispersed so that performance monitoring may be difficult.
- Can be difficult to measure the outputs.
- Public sector managers may not have control of the mix of services they offer (regulation).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Big: General government expenditure as proportion of GDP is around 20%.
- But getting smaller in some ways: ABS treats Telstra as a "private sector unit" from March quarter 2007 national accounts.
- "Owners" are dispersed so that performance monitoring may be difficult.
- Can be difficult to measure the outputs.
- Public sector managers may not have control of the mix of services they offer (regulation).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Big: General government expenditure as proportion of GDP is around 20%.
- But getting smaller in some ways: ABS treats Telstra as a "private sector unit" from March quarter 2007 national accounts.
- "Owners" are dispersed so that performance monitoring may be difficult.
- Can be difficult to measure the outputs.
- Public sector managers may not have control of the mix of services they offer (regulation).

(日) (同) (三) (三)

- Big: General government expenditure as proportion of GDP is around 20%.
- But getting smaller in some ways: ABS treats Telstra as a "private sector unit" from March quarter 2007 national accounts.
- "Owners" are dispersed so that performance monitoring may be difficult.
- Can be difficult to measure the outputs.
- Public sector managers may not have control of the mix of services they offer (regulation).

- Big: General government expenditure as proportion of GDP is around 20%.
- But getting smaller in some ways: ABS treats Telstra as a "private sector unit" from March quarter 2007 national accounts.
- "Owners" are dispersed so that performance monitoring may be difficult.
- Can be difficult to measure the outputs.
- Public sector managers may not have control of the mix of services they offer (regulation).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Conclusions

- Difficult to know how much public capital is "enough". Depends on purpose, consumption attributes and impacts on prices.
- Evidence does not provide comprehensive cost-benefit analysis: social user costs versus social user benefit (Morrison and Schwartz, 1996).
- Location matters, but little empirical evidence.

## Conclusions

- Difficult to know how much public capital is "enough". Depends on purpose, consumption attributes and impacts on prices.
- Evidence does not provide comprehensive cost-benefit analysis: social user costs versus social user benefit (Morrison and Schwartz, 1996).
- Location matters, but little empirical evidence.

## Conclusions

- Difficult to know how much public capital is "enough". Depends on purpose, consumption attributes and impacts on prices.
- Evidence does not provide comprehensive cost-benefit analysis: social user costs versus social user benefit (Morrison and Schwartz, 1996).
- Location matters, but little empirical evidence.

#### • Quality matters, but difficult to measure.

- Efficiency matters, but difficult to measure.
- Disaggregate level of analysis seems preferable.

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

- Quality matters, but difficult to measure.
- Efficiency matters, but difficult to measure.
- Disaggregate level of analysis seems preferable.

- ∢ ≣ ▶

- Quality matters, but difficult to measure.
- Efficiency matters, but difficult to measure.
- Disaggregate level of analysis seems preferable.

< ∃ >

### Some Areas Where Progress Seems Possible

 Disaggregation of public capital into components to allow investigation of productivity impacts. Some (e.g. schools) would be expected to have long-run impacts, some (e.g. roads) more immediate impacts.

#### • Regional disaggregation:

- Point versus network effects some capital impacts on broader regions than others (water works versus roads). (Boisso, Grosskopf and Hayes, 2000)
- Positive impacts on one region could lead to negative impacts on other regions. Opportunity costs of each investment option.
- Private-sector (and household) resource re-allocation caused by public infrastructure choices.

(신문) (문)

- Regional disaggregation:
  - Point versus network effects some capital impacts on broader regions than others (water works versus roads). (Boisso, Grosskopf and Hayes, 2000)
  - Positive impacts on one region could lead to negative impacts on other regions. Opportunity costs of each investment option.
  - Private-sector (and household) resource re-allocation caused by public infrastructure choices.

・ロン ・回 と ・ヨン ・ヨン

- Regional disaggregation:
  - Point versus network effects some capital impacts on broader regions than others (water works versus roads). (Boisso, Grosskopf and Hayes, 2000)
  - Positive impacts on one region could lead to negative impacts on other regions. Opportunity costs of each investment option.
  - Private-sector (and household) resource re-allocation caused by public infrastructure choices.

★ E ► ★ E ►

## Tackling the Tough Problems in Productivity Measurement

#### Joint ABS/PC/UNSW research initiative:

- Infrastructure
- Services
- R&D Capitalisation

★ E ► ★ E ►

#### Some References

Aschauer, D.A. (1989a), "Is Public expenditure Productive?" Journal of Monetary Economics 23, 177-200.

Aschauer, D.A. (1989b), "Does Public Capital Crowd out Private Capital," Journal of Monetary Economics 24, 171–188.

Basu, S. and J. G. Fernald (1997), "Returns to Scale in U.S. Production: Estimates and Implications," *Journal of Political Economy* 105, 249-283.

Berndt, E.R. and B. Hansson (1991), "Measuring the Contribution of Public Infrastructure Capital in Sweden," NBER Working Paper No. 3842.

Boarnet, M. (1998), "Spillovers and the Location Effect of Public Infrastructure," Journal of Regional Science 38, 381–400.

Boisso, D., S. Grosskopf and K. Hayes (2000), "Productivity and Efficiency in the US: Effects of business cycles and public capital," *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 30, 663–681.

Connolly, E. and K.J. Fox (2004), "The Impact of High-Tech Capital on Productivity: Evidence from Australia," *Economic Inquiry*, forthcoming.

W.E. Diewert and K.J. Fox (2004), "Returns to Scale, Technical Progress and Monopolistic Markups," manuscript.

Fox, K.J. (1999) "Efficiency at Different Levels of Aggregation: Public vs. Private Sector Firms," Economics Letters 65, 173–176, 1999.

Fox, K.J. (2003), "Problems with (Dis)Aggregating Productivity, and Another Productivity Paradox," UNSW School of Economics Discussion Paper 2003/01.

Ð.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Grimes, A. (2003), "Economic Growth and the Size and Structure of Government: Implications for New Zealand," New Zealand Economic Papers 37, 151-175.

Haughwout, A.F. (1998), "Aggregate Production Functions, Interregional Equilibrium, and the Measurement of Infrastructure Productivity," *Journal of Urban Economics* 44, 216–227.

Haughwout, A.F. (2002), "Public Infrastructure Investments, Productivity and Welfare in Fixed Geographic Areas," Journal of Public Economics 83, 405–428.

Hicks, J.R. (1935), "The Theory of Monopoly: A Survey," Econometrica 3, 1-20.

International Monetary Fund (2002), Government Finance Statistics Yearbook, Washington D.C.

Morrison, C.J. and A.E. Schwartz (1996), "State Infrastructure and Productive Performance," American Economic Review 86, 1095–1111.

Munell, A. (1990), "Why has Productivity Growth Declined? Productivity and Public Investment," New England Economic Review, January/February, 3–22.

Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (2003), Economic Outlook 72, Paris.

Otto, G. and G. Voss (1994), "Public Capital and Private Sector Productivity," The Economic Record 70, 121-132.

Otto, G. and G. Voss (1995), "Public Capital and Private Sector Productivity: A Review of the Empirical Evidence," *Economic and Labour Relations Review* 6, no. 1, 52-70.

Otto, G. and G. Voss (1996), "Public Capital and Private Production in Australia," Southern Economic Journal 62, no. 3, 723-38.

Otto, G. and G. Voss (1998), "Is Public Capital Provision Efficient?" Journal of Monetary Economics 42, no. 1, 47-66.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 の久(で)