



**Australian Government**  
**Productivity Commission**

# Strengthening Evidence-based Policy in the Australian Federation

Roundtable Proceedings



Canberra, 17-18 August 2009  
*Volume 2: Background Paper*

© COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA 2010

ISBN 978-1-74037-312-8

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, the work may be reproduced in whole or in part for study or training purposes, subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgment of the source. Reproduction for commercial use or sale requires prior written permission from the Commonwealth. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Commonwealth Copyright Administration, Attorney-General's Department, 3-5 National Circuit, Canberra ACT 2600 or posted at [www.ag.gov.au/cca](http://www.ag.gov.au/cca).

*This publication is available in hard copy or PDF format from the Productivity Commission website at [www.pc.gov.au](http://www.pc.gov.au). If you require part or all of this publication in a different format, please contact Media and Publications (see below).*

**Publications Inquiries:**

Media and Publications  
Productivity Commission  
Locked Bag 2 Collins Street East  
Melbourne VIC 8003

Tel: (03) 9653 2244  
Fax: (03) 9653 2303  
Email: [maps@pc.gov.au](mailto:maps@pc.gov.au)

**General Inquiries:**

Tel: (03) 9653 2100 or (02) 6240 3200

**An appropriate citation for this paper is:**

Productivity Commission 2010, *Strengthening Evidence-based policy in the Australian Federation, Volume 2: Background Paper*, Productivity Commission, Canberra.

JEL code:

***The Productivity Commission***

The Productivity Commission is the Australian Government's independent research and advisory body on a range of economic, social and environmental issues affecting the welfare of Australians. Its role, expressed most simply, is to help governments make better policies, in the long term interest of the Australian community.

The Commission's independence is underpinned by an Act of Parliament. Its processes and outputs are open to public scrutiny and are driven by concern for the wellbeing of the community as a whole.

Further information on the Productivity Commission can be obtained from the Commission's website ([www.pc.gov.au](http://www.pc.gov.au)) or by contacting Media and Publications on (03) 9653 2244 or email: [maps@pc.gov.au](mailto:maps@pc.gov.au)

---

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Key points</b>	<b>vi</b>
1 Evidence-based policy	1
2 Methodological principles	9
3 Institutional and process issues	47
<b>Appendix</b>	
A Why did the US crime rate fall in the 1990s? Evaluation lessons from a cause celebre	69
<b>References</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>Boxes</b>	
1.1 Why is evidence-based policy important? Some examples	5
1.2 The precautionary principle and evidence-based policy	8
2.1 Identifying the cause of the problem: The Great Chicago fire	12
2.2 Defining the problem carefully: Advertising and childhood obesity	13
2.3 National drought policy: clearly stated objectives facilitate evaluation	15
2.4 Assessing the options for government intervention	17
2.5 A ban on all plastic bags: the best option to tackle litter?	18
2.6 Leigh's evidence hierarchy for Australian policy makers	20
2.7 Randomised controlled trials: A 'perfect counterfactual'	21
2.8 Randomised controlled trials: The gold standard?	22
2.9 Instrumental variables and the role of theory	24
2.10 A checklist for assessing research evidence	26
2.11 Triangulating the evidence	27
2.12 Attribution challenges: do friends <i>cause</i> happiness?	32
2.13 Attribution challenges: Does microfinance work?	35
2.14 Some potential sources of bias	37

---

2.15	Response bias: the difficulty of estimating the number of problem gamblers	38
2.16	Experimental versus non-experimental measures of the income gains from migration: an illustration of selection bias	39
2.17	The Stern Review and sensitivity analysis	40
2.18	Quantifying the costs and benefits of gambling liberalisation	45
3.1	National Competition Policy: A hybrid model	50
3.2	Transparency: Some international examples	52
3.3	Transparency: an Australian example	53
3.4	Evaluating the family law reforms	55
3.5	The Office of Management and Budget's encouragement of evidence-based policy	57
3.6	Two Australian randomised policy trials	58
3.7	Mexico's Progres-a-Oportunidades program	60
3.8	Why isn't existing evidence used more by policymakers?	61
3.9	An evaluation club: the International Initiative for Impact Evaluation	63
3.10	Evaluation bodies in the United Kingdom	65
3.11	Linking evidence to decision-making: OECD suggestions for regulatory impact analysis	67

## Figures

1.1	The role of evidence in the policy cycle	3
1.2	Types of evidence	4
2.1	Impact of Career Academies on high school graduation rates	21
2.2	Impact of biofuel support removal on biodiesel production	42
A.1	1995 Teen Homicide Forecasts Compared to Actual Teen Homicides, 1995-2000	70

## Tables

2.1	A matrix of suggested principles for evidence-based policy	10
2.2	Some methods for estimating policy impact relative to the counterfactual	30
3.1	A matrix of suggested principles for evidence-based policy	48
A.1	Common Media Explanations for the Decline in Crime in the 1990s, Ranked by Frequency of Mention	70
A.2	Estimated Contributions to the US Decline in Crime in the 1990s	72

---

# Preface

The Productivity Commission's 2009 Roundtable aimed to promote discussion on how to strengthen the use of evidence to better inform policy decisions. This background paper was provided to participants to facilitate discussion by exploring what is meant by evidence-based policy, and examining how it might be implemented in practice. It was principally prepared by Terry O'Brien and Kristy Bogaards, from the Commission's Canberra office.

In 2008 and early 2009, the Commission's Chairman, Gary Banks, gave a number of presentations on evidence-based policy making, the most recent of these being an ANZSOG/ANU public lecture in Canberra titled *Evidence-based policy making: What is it? How do we get it?* (Banks, 2009). This paper complements and elaborates on that address. In particular, it expands on the principles sketched on pages 8 to 18 and the institutional ideas at pages 21 to 23.

The first section of the paper provides a definition of evidence-based policy and briefly examines some features of an evidence-based approach.

Section two sets out some high level principles for evidence-based policy making that identify the main recurrent issues in policy evaluation. Such principles could be useful to governments, officials, journalists and the general public in structuring their thinking about policy.

Section three examines the institutional arrangements that strengthen evidence-based policy by improving transparency, helping governments support each others' policy evaluation efforts and building evidence into the decision making process.